

Message

---

**From:** Emilsson, Gunnar [EmilssonGR@cdmsmith.com]  
**Sent:** 10/11/2018 4:22:43 PM  
**To:** Cirian, Mike [Cirian.Mike@epa.gov]  
**CC:** Erin Formanek [Formanek@cdmsmith.com]; Dick Sloan [rsloan@mt.gov]; Berry, David [Berry.David@epa.gov]; Sanchez, Brian [sanchez.brian@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** October 17 meeting agenda  
**Attachments:** October 2018 Project Update Meeting Agenda.docx  
  
**Flag:** Flag for follow up

Hi Mike:

In order to prepare for next week's meeting with CFAC and Roux, I discussed the first item on the October 17 agenda with Erin and Lynn, "Outstanding Items with EPA." Here's what we came up with:

Approval Letter for Background Investigation SAP. You approved proceeding with the sampling by email September 19 (DEQ had concurred on August 21), so **you can send an approval letter for the file.**

Approval Letter for Risk Assessment Work Plans. We reviewed the response to comments to the BERA work plan on September 25, and found that they sufficiently addressed the comments. DEQ concurred on October 1. **You can direct CFAC/Roux to prepare a final BERA work plan.** CFAC/Roux sent us a final BHHRA work plan based on previous comment responses on September 28. DEQ concurred with the comment responses on September 21. Erin has reviewed this document and recommends that **you send CFAC an approval letter.**

Review of Risk Assessment Interim Deliverables. To date we have received the following:

August 17. Technical Memorandum: Proposed Wildlife Exposure Modeling Approach to Support the Baseline Ecological Risk Assessment

August 30. Interim Deliverable for the Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment Work Plan

September 25. Technical Memorandum: Proposed Refined Ecological Screening Values to Support the Baseline Ecological Risk Assessment

Erin currently is reviewing these in the order in which they were received. The question is: does CFAC/Roux want to prioritize our review of these? My impression is that none of these documents are critical path items affecting the schedule, but we would like to confirm that with them. We assume Brian is reviewing the first and third interim deliverables, David is reviewing the second, and Dick and the State are reviewing all three. **We can discuss the schedule for interim deliverable review with CFAC next week.**

Let me know if you have any questions or would like to discuss further.

Thanks,

**Gunnar Emilsson, P.G., P.E., BCEE**

Project Manager

CDM Smith

50 West 14th St., Suite 200, Helena, MT 59601

T: (406) 441-1422 F: (406) 449-7725 M: (406) 431-3982

[emilssongr@cdmsmith.com](mailto:emilssongr@cdmsmith.com)

cdmsmith.com